

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 10/626,168	07/23/2003	Narutoshi Sugita	SIW-034CP	9715	
959	7590 11/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP			· CHU, HELEN OK		
	OFFICE SQUARE MA 02109-2127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1745	1745	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	5	
ddress		
30) DAYS,		
communication.		
ne merits is		
		į
CFR 1.121(d).		
PTO-152.		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/626,168	SUGITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Helen O. Chu	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Se	eptember 2006.						
,	·						
	to the most of the						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/7/2006</u> .	6)						

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's Amendments was received on September 18, 2006. Claims 1-3, 5, 11-16 are amended. Claims 7-10 and 19-24 are cancelled.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), on claims 1-4, 6, 11, 13, 14, 16-18, as anticipated by Reznikov are withdrawn because Applicant has amended the claims.
- 4. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), on claims 7-9 and 20-24, as anticipated by Reznikov are withdrawn because Applicant has cancelled the claims.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 11-14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reznikov (US Patent 5,232,792).

The Reznikov discloses separator plate for separating fuel cell units in a fuel cell stack. Figure 1 illustrates a membrane electrode assembly sandwiched between separator plates (Component 20 and the separator under component 31') with an electrolyte seal member (Applicant's outer seal member; Component 31) sandwiched by a pair of separators when the fuel cell is stacked at a position surrounding the outer

Art Unit: 1745

periphery of the electrode assembly, an inner seal member (Component 21) sandwiched between a pair of separators and an outer periphery of the electrolyte.

A cathode backing (Component 12 and 14) is in opposition to the inner seal member (Component 21) and interposing the electrolyte membrane.

Furthermore, Figure 1 illustrates a portion of the stacked fuel cell (Column 4, Lines 53-55). It would be inherent that the stacked fuel cell will have repeating units that are the same as the configuration shown on Figure 1. Therefore at contact surfaces between the inner seal, outer seal there is a step (Figure 1, next to component 17) formed on the other separator plates.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), on claim 5 and 15, as unpatentable over Reznikov are withdrawn because Applicant has amended the claims.
- 8. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 1023(a), on claims 10 and 19, as unpatentable over Reznikov are withdrawn because Applicant has cancelled the claim.
- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

In regards to claim 15, the Reznikov discloses the claimed invention except for the inner and outer seal members to be separate members. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 1745

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventing was made to combine the two seal members, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill n the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S. 164 (1893)

### **Double Patenting**

10. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

11. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,815,115 B2. This is a double patenting rejection.

## Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1745

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen O. Chu whose telephone number is (571) 272-5162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HOC .

TRACY DOVE
PRIMARY EXAMINER